

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/098,511	OZEKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew O Savage	1724	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Matthew O Savage.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Daniel A. Geselowitz, Ph.D.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 December 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.*

Claims discussed:

*1, 6, 7, 10, and 11.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Agreed to amend claims 1, 6, 7, 10, and 11 to specify the main body side stopping member as being allowed to move relatively to the filtration portion side stopping member in order to obviate the associated rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)